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ABSTRACT

Web Archiving Integration Layer (WAIL) is a desktop application written in Python that integrates Heritrix and OpenWayback. In this work we recreate and extend WAIL from the ground up to facilitate collection-based personal Web archiving. Our new iteration of the software, WAIL-Electron, leverages native Web technologies (e.g., JavaScript, Chromium) using Electron to open new potential for Web archiving by individuals in a stand-alone cross-platform native application. By replacing OpenWayback with PyWb, we provide a novel means for personal Web archivists to curate collections of their captures from their own personal computer rather than relying on an external archival Web service. As extended features we also provide the ability for a user to monitor and automatically archive Twitter users’ feeds, even those requiring authentication, as well as provide a reference implementation for integrating a browser-based preservation tool into an OS native application.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Subscription-based Web archiving services like Archive-it allow users with limited technical knowledge to create and replay personalized collections of Web archives. Archive-It provides its users with a simple interface to create collections and to launch complex archival crawl. Similar to Archive-It is Webrecorder1, which allows any user to register for the service and provides them with the ability to create and manage personalized collections of Web archives. But unlike Archive-It, Webrecorder requires its user to manually drive the preservation process or upload content for replay while only providing its users up to five gigabytes of storage. Individuals that wish to freely (gratis and libre) archive Web pages without arbitrary restrictions beyond the limitations of their personal computers using institutional grade tools must setup an archival Web crawler (e.g., Heritrix) and replay system (e.g., Wayback), time consuming and technical tasks potentially beyond the individual’s skill level. Even if a user is able to successfully set up these tools, they must also configure the crawls via Heritrix and come up with their own means of associating the Web archives to each other for collection-based replay via Wayback.

We have developed a tool that provides users with access to both Heritrix and Wayback while providing an interoperable mechanism for personal collection-based Web archiving from their personal computers. Users can create and add to these collections through WAIL-Electron with the software taking care of the details in managing the collections, crawls, and replay. We have integrated a native Chromium2 browser (the core of Google’s Chrome Web browser) into the archival process in order to surface content specific to sites like Twitter for more accurate and comprehensive preservation.

2 WAIL

WAIL-Electron is an Electron3-based reimplementation of the original WAIL application [5]. WAIL-Electron (from here on referred to as “WAIL”) allows users to create and manage personalized collections of Web archives from their personal computers. When a user first starts the application, WAIL provides them with a default collection and the means to create additional collections straight away from the collection screen (Figure 1). The collection view displays an overview of the collections WAIL is currently managing and information about them. This information includes the number of seeds contained in the collection along with the collection’s size and the last time it was updated. A user may easily create a new collection by clicking the “New Collection” button. Doing so displays a dialog (Figure 2), prompting the user for a collection name, title, and description. These values are propagated to the WAIL interface and are viewable when replaying the collection through Wayback. When viewing a collection, WAIL displays

---

1 https://webrecorder.io/
2 https://www.chromium.org/
3 http://electron.atom.io/
We implemented the new version of WAIL in Electron, as it allows WAIL provides an interface for a user to monitor and archive Twitter windows with Electron’s Node.js integration to achieve the same process-intensive tasks required for the collection and crawl management. Each window is responsible for the file system and OS native metadata about each seed in the collection like date added, the last time archived, the number of captures and direct means to replay the seed using the Wayback instance provided. Users may also add seeds to be crawled through the WAIL interface or may import any previously existing WARC [3] files that were generated from any source. WAIL also provides a mechanism to check on the state of the resource on the live Web from within the same interface prior to the user initiating a crawl.

After entering the URI for a new seed, WAIL automatically configures and launches the crawl. While a crawl or a set of crawls is underway, WAIL provides real-time progress monitoring for the crawls within the interface. Upon completion of a crawl, WAIL will automatically associate the generated WARC file to the collection and ensure its ingestion by Wayback. As an additional feature, WAIL provides an interface for a user to monitor and archive Twitter content automatically. Users may specify criteria by which to identify tweets to be archived and to which collection the preserved tweet is added. Once the monitoring has started and a tweet has been identified for archiving, the tweet will be archived and automatically added to the specified collection.

3 IMPLEMENTATION

We tested our browser archival implementation by comparing it with a Heritrix crawl of the WS-DL Twitter (@WebSciDL) feed. The Heritrix crawl was configured to only crawl the page and all of its embedded resources to ensure as close of a comparison as possible. The Heritrix crawl took 9 minutes 45 seconds and made 208 requests, inclusive of the mobile representation of the page. Using our in-browser implementation, the page was archived in 1 minute 30 seconds with 53 requests. These requests represent the actual requests made by the browser when parsing the representation as well as all requests made from JavaScript within the target page. The additional requests made by Heritrix can be attributed to how Heritrix contracts its crawl frontier [2]. Heritrix extracts any URL it can identify from the embedded resources [6] of the representation adding them to the crawl frontier.

4 EVALUATION

Unlike headless browsers like PhantomJS, we have built the preservation mechanism directly into the browser. We would like to use this to begin preserving streaming media and advertisements that are often problematic to preserve using conventional means. Brunelle et al. [1] showed the impact of JavaScript on archivability of Web pages and we believe the JavaScript execution gained through using a full browser would allow for this content to be better preserved.
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