CS 455/555 Intro to Networks and Communications

Link Layer

Dr. Michele Weigle Department of Computer Science Old Dominion University mweigle@cs.odu.edu

http://www.cs.odu.edu/~mweigle/CS455-S13

Link Layer Outline

- Introduction and services
- Error detection and correction
- Multiple access protocols
- Link-layer Addressing
- Ethernet
- Link-Layer Switches



Link Layer Introduction

- Hosts and routers are *nodes*
- Communication channels that connect adjacent nodes along communication path are *links*
 - » wired links
 - » wireless links
 - » LANs
- Layer-2 packet is a *frame*, encapsulates datagram

data-link layer has responsibility of transferring datagram from one node to adjacent node over a single link



Link Layer

Context

- Datagram may be transferred by different link protocols over different links:
 - » *e.g.*, Ethernet on first link, frame relay on intermediate links, 802.11 on last link
- Each link protocol provides different services
 - » e.g., may or may not provide reliable transport over link

Transportation Analogy

- trip from Norfolk to Florence
 - » car: Norfolk to DC
 - » plane: DC to Pisa
 - » train: Pisa to Florence
- tourist = datagram
- transport segment =
 communication link
- transportation mode = link
 layer protocol
- travel agent = routing algorithm

• Framing, link access:

- » encapsulate datagram into frame, adding header, trailer
- » channel access if shared medium
- » MAC addresses used in frame headers to identify source, destination
 - * different from IP address

Reliable delivery between adjacent nodes

- » seldom used on low bit error link (fiber, some twisted pair)
- » wireless links: high error rates

Link Layer Services (more)

Flow Control:

» pacing between adjacent sending and receiving nodes

• Error Detection:

- » errors caused by signal attenuation, noise.
- » receiver detects presence of errors:
 - * signals sender for retransmission or drops frame

• Error Correction:

» receiver identifies and corrects bit error(s) without resorting to retransmission

• Half-duplex and full-duplex

» with half duplex, nodes at both ends of link can transmit, but not at same time

Link Layer Where is the link layer implemented?

- Link layer implemented in "adapter" (*aka* network interface card NIC)
 - » Ethernet card, PCMCI card, 802.11 card
 - » implements link, physical layer
- Attaches into host's system buses
- Combination of hardware, software, firmware



Link Layer Adapters Communicating



Link-layer protocol

Sending side:

- » encapsulates datagram in a frame
- » adds error checking bits, reliable data transport, flow control, etc.

Receiving side:

- » looks for errors, reliable data transport, flow control, etc
- » extracts datagram, passes to receiving node

Link Layer Outline

- Introduction and services
- Error detection and correction
- Multiple access protocols
- Link-layer Addressing
- Ethernet
- Link-Layer Switches



Link Layer Error Detection

- Error detection not 100% reliable
 - » may miss some errors
 - » larger EDC field yields better detection and correction



Error Detection Common Techniques

Parity Check

» illustrate basic ideas behind error detection and correction

Checksumming

» typically used in transport layer

Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC)

» typically used in the link layer in the adapter

Error Detection

Parity Checking



Two Dimer Detect and corre	nsional Bit Parity: ct single bit errors
$\begin{array}{c} d_{1,1} \\ d_{2,1} \\ \dots \\ column \\ parity \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} d_{i,1} \\ d_{i+1,1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c} 10101 \\ 111100 \\ 011101 \\ 001010 \\ no errors \\ even parity \end{array} $	101011 101100 parity error 011101 001010 parity error correctable single bit error

Error Detection Internet Checksum

<u>Goal:</u> detect "errors" (*e.g.*, flipped bits) in transmitted packet (note: used at transport layer *only*)

Sender:

- treat segment contents as sequence of 16-bit integers
- checksum: addition (1's complement sum) of segment contents
- sender puts checksum value into UDP checksum field

Receiver:

- compute checksum of received segment
- check if computed checksum equals checksum field value:
 - » NO error detected
 - » YES no error detected. But maybe errors nonetheless?

13

Error Detection

Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC)

- View data bits, *D*, as a binary number
- Choose *r*+1 bit pattern (generator), *G*
- Choose r CRC bits, R, such that <D, R> exactly divisible by G (modulo 2)
- Receiver knows G, divides <D, R> by G
 » if non-zero remainder: error detected!
- Can detect all burst errors less than *r*+1 bits



CRC Example

d = 6, r = 3Want: 101011 $D \cdot 2^r \operatorname{XOR} R = nG$ 1001 101110000 equivalently: G ۲D 0.01 $D^{2^{r}} = nG \operatorname{XOR} R$ 101 000 equivalently: 1010 if we divide $D^{\cdot}2^r$ by G, 001 110 want remainder R 000 100 1001 1010 R = remainder $\left[\frac{D \cdot 2^{r}}{G}\right]$ 1001 011 R

Link Layer Outline

- Introduction and services
- Error detection and correction
- Multiple access protocols
- Link-layer addressing
- Ethernet
- Link-Layer switches



16

Link Layer Multiple Access Links and Protocols

Point-to-point

- » PPP for dial-up access
- » point-to-point link between Ethernet switch and host

Broadcast (shared wire or medium)

- » old-fashioned Ethernet
- » upstream HFC
- » 802.11 wireless LAN



shared wire (e.g., cabled Ethernet)



shared RF (e.g., 802.11 WiFi)



cocktail party (shared air, acoustical)



shared RF (satellite)

Link Layer Multiple Access

- Single shared broadcast channel
- Two or more simultaneous transmissions by nodes: interference
 - *» collision*, if node receives two or more signals at the same time
- Multiple access protocol
 - » distributed algorithm that determines how nodes share channel, *i.e.*, determine when node can transmit
 - » communication about channel sharing must use channel itself
 - * no out-of-band channel for coordination

Multiple Access Protocols Ideal Protocol

Broadcast channel of rate R bps

- when one node wants to transmit, it can send at rate *R*
- when *M* nodes want to transmit, each can send at average rate *R/M*
- fully decentralized
 - » no special node to coordinate transmissions
 - » no synchronization of clocks, slots
- simple

Multiple Access Control (MAC) Protocols Taxonomy

Channel Partitioning

- » divide channel into smaller "pieces" (time slots, frequency, code)
- » allocate piece to node for exclusive use

Random Access

- » channel not divided, allow collisions
- » "recover" from collisions
- "Taking turns"
 - » nodes take turns, but nodes with more to send can take longer turns

Channel Partitioning Protocols Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA)

- Access to channel in "rounds"
- Each station gets fixed length slot (length = pkt trans time) in each round
- Unused slots go idle
- Example:
 - » 6-station LAN
 - » 1, 3, 4 have packets
 - » slots 2, 5, 6 idle



Channel Partitioning Protocols Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDMA)

- Channel spectrum divided into frequency bands
- Each station assigned fixed frequency band
- Unused transmission time in frequency bands go idle

Example:

- » 6-station LAN
- » 1, 3, 4 have packets
- » frequency bands 2, 5, 6 idle



MAC Protocols Random Access Protocols

• When node has packet to send

- » transmit at full channel data rate R
- » no a priori coordination among nodes
- Two or more transmitting nodes \rightarrow "collision"

• Random access MAC protocol specifies:

- » how to detect collisions
- » how to recover from collisions (e.g., via delayed retransmissions)

• Examples of random access MAC protocols:

- » slotted ALOHA
- » ALOHA
- » CSMA, CSMA/CD, CSMA/CA

23

Random Access Protocols Slotted ALOHA

Assumptions:

- All frames are *L* bits
- Time divided into equal size slots of *L/R* seconds (time to transmit 1 frame)
- Nodes start to transmit only at slot beginning
- Nodes are synchronized
- If 2 or more nodes transmit in slot, all nodes detect collision

Operation:

- When node obtains fresh frame, transmits in next slot
 - » if no collision: node can send new frame in next slot
 - » *if collision:* node retransmits frame in each subsequent slot with probability *p* until success

Random Access Protocols Slotted ALOHA



Pros

- Single active node can continuously transmit at full rate of channel
- Highly decentralized: only slots in nodes need to be in sync
- Simple

Cons

- Collisions, wasting slots
- Idle slots
- Clock synchronization

25

Slotted ALOHA

Efficiency

Efficiency: long-run fraction of successful slots

- Suppose N nodes with many frames to send, each transmits in slot with probability p
- Probability that given node has success in a slot

$p (1-p)^{N-1}$

Probability that any node has a success

Np (1-*p*)^{*N*-1}

 Max efficiency
 » find p* that maximizes Np (1-p)^{N-1}

- For many nodes, take limit of Np* (1-p*)^{N-1} as N goes to infinity
- Max efficiency = 1/e = .37

At best, channel used for useful transmissions 37% of time!

Random Access Protocols Pure (unslotted) ALOHA

- Simpler, no synchronization
- When frame first arrives, transmit immediately
- Collision probability increases:
 - » frame sent at t_0 collides with other frames sent in [t_0 -1, t_0 +1]



Pure ALOHA Efficiency

P (success by given node) = P (node transmits) * P (no other node transmits in $[t_0-1, t_0]$) * P (no other node transmits in $[t_0, t_0+1]$) = $p (1-p)^{N-1} (1-p)^{N-1}$ = $p (1-p)^{2(N-1)}$

... choosing optimum p and then letting $n \rightarrow infinity ...$

$$= 1/(2e) = .18$$

even worse than slotted ALOHA

Random Access Protocols CSMA (Carrier Sense Multiple Access)

Listen before transmit

- » if channel sensed idle, transmit entire frame
- » if channel sensed busy, defer transmission for a random amount of time and listen again

Listen while transmitting

» if collision, stop transmitting



CSMA CSMA/CD (Collision Detection)

• Carrier sensing, deferral as in CSMA

- » collisions detected within short time
- » colliding transmissions aborted, reducing channel wastage

Collision detection

- » easy in wired LANs
 - * measure signal strengths, compare transmitted, received signals
- » difficult in wireless LANs
 - * received signal strength overwhelmed by local transmission strength

• Human analogy: the polite conversationalist

CSMA/CD Collision detection



MAC Protocols "Taking Turns" MAC protocols

Channel partitioning MAC protocols

- » share channel efficiently and fairly at high load
- » inefficient at low load: delay in channel access, 1/N bandwidth allocated even if only 1 active node!

Random access MAC protocols

- » efficient at low load: single node can fully utilize channel
- » high load: collision overhead

"Taking turns" protocols
 » look for best of both worlds!

"Taking Turns" MAC protocols Polling

- Master node "invites" slave nodes to transmit in turn
- Typically used with "dumb" slave devices
- Concerns:
 - » polling overhead
 - » latency
 - » single point of failure (master)



"Taking Turns" MAC protocols Token Passing



MAC Protocols

Summary

- Channel partitioning, by time, frequency, or code
 » Time Division, Frequency Division
- Random access (dynamic)
 - » ALOHA, S-ALOHA, CSMA, CSMA/CD
 - » carrier sensing: easy in some technologies (wire), hard in others (wireless)
 - » CSMA/CD used in Ethernet
 - » CSMA/CA used in 802.11

Taking turns

- » polling from central site, token passing
- » Bluetooth, FDDI, IBM Token Ring

Link Layer Outline

- Introduction and services
- Error detection and correction
- Multiple access protocols
- Link-layer Addressing
- Ethernet
- Link-Layer Switches

